UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,544	08/16/2006	Toshio Masumoto	MASUMOTO ET AL-2 PCT	5596
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 12/01/200 ROE, P.C.	9	EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		VU, HIEN D	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/585,544	MASUMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Hien D. Vu	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off Abrief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betometers. 	•	ducing or simplifying tl	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	acted claims	
NOTE: Arguments regarding 112 rejections and the			re not
persuasive. With respect to feature (A) in the rema	rks, the feature A appears to be ov	ercome; with respect	to feature (B),
the feature B is not overcome because feature "a elastically deformed to reduce an inner space" was the connector without elastic deformation; with responding confused and unclear what is being claimed. With arguments are not persuasive, also the newly ame	s not original disclosed as to how the sect to feature C, the feature C is n respect to the arguments of claime	e reinforcIng membe ot overcome because d rejection in view of ī	<u>r can not hold</u> e, such feature is Tanaka, the
and 41.33(a)).			DT01 004
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	ewasie ii dasiiii.ed iii a deparate, t	intery filed differing file	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 6-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	atice of Appeal will not	t be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Hien D. Vu/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2839

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091124